

Non vascular cryptogamic collections from Herbarium Universitatis Taurinensis (TO): making the most to promote their utilization

Deborah Isocrono

Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie Forestali e Alimentari, Università degli Studi di Torino, largo P. Braccini, 2. I-10095 Grugliasco (TO).
E-mail: deborah.isocrono@unito.it

Laura Guglielmone

Guglielmo Pandolfo

Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e Biologia dei Sistemi, Università degli Studi di Torino, viale Mattioli, 25. I-10125 Torino.
E-mail: laura.guglielmone@unito.it

ABSTRACT

The Herbarium Universitatis Taurinensis (TO) contains over 130.000 scientifically and historically significant cryptogamic specimens, including type specimens. This paper presents the first results of a project aimed at the discovery, description and enhancement of non vascular plant collections hosted in Turin Herbarium.

Key words:

cryptogams, herbarium, Historical collections.

RIASSUNTO

Crittogame non vascolari nell'Herbarium Universitatis Taurinensis (TO): valorizzazione come base per un futuro utilizzo

L'Herbarium Universitatis Taurinensis (TO) contiene oltre 130.000 campioni, ad oggi scarsamente indagati, riferibili a crittogame non vascolari. Il presente lavoro illustra i primi risultati di un progetto volto al censimento, descrizione e valorizzazione delle collezioni crittogamiche della sede Torinese.

Parole chiave:

crittogame, erbario TO, collezioni storiche.

Herbaria are the repositories of preserved plants, enabling species to be studied and their distribution in space and time to be documented and analysed. They are essential parts of a research institute specialized in taxonomic botany and have potential to serve as data sources for biodiversity and conservation. Historically, herbaria with vascular plants have been most extensively studied than ones with non-vascular plants and this is particularly true for the Turin's collections.

The "Herbarium Universitatis Taurinensis (TO)" includes, in addition to well-known collections of spermatophytes (Forneris, 2004) many materials related to non-vascular plants. These materials, with few exceptions, have never been studied yet.

In 1891 Giuseppe Gibelli, who was Director of the Botanical Garden in Turin, undertook a great work of reorganization: most of the non-vascular cryptogams were merged into 5 big herbaria (Musci, Hepaticae, Algae, Fungi open to additions and Lichens not open). In these herbaria all specimens, coming from many different herbaria, were sorted according to

systematic and alphabetical criteria. This task, conducted in a period where no technical aids for databasing existed, on the one hand certainly facilitated the consultation and comparison of samples, on the other hand completely destroyed the unity of the individual collections, making very complex even their detection. Moreover most of the cryptogamic samples are no longer associated to a catalogue, therefore, at present, data on the abundance, origin and geographical location of the exsiccata are not easily available. The lichen herbarium, unlike the other four, includes all materials acquired by the Botanical Garden since the second half of the Eighteenth century until 1950. The newly acquired lichen materials are preserved in folded envelopes according to the widely adopted management practices (e.g. Obermayer, 2002) and stored separately. This current section is regularly updated, contains more than 4000 specimens and is the only one for which a complete computerized catalogue is available (Isocrono et al., 2004).

Other cryptogamic materials can be found in closed



Fig. 3. Examples from Micheletti cryptogamic collections.

herbaria, they have been recognized mainly on the basis of the handwriting on the labels.

Non vascular plants in the TO herbarium: cryptogamic herbaria

The Algae herbarium (fig. 2) contains approximately 10.000 specimens of freshwater, marine, and terrestrial algae from all of the main divisions. Over 50 nuclei have been recognized. The herbarium includes micro- and macroalgae, most mounted on herbarium sheets or in packets. Some specimens, mainly assembled in 1800, also includes a set of permanent slides. It contains scientifically and historically significant material such as: Wittrock V. B., Nordstedt O. *Algae aquae dulcis exsiccatae*, Piccone Florula algologica della Sardegna (330 specimens collected in Sardinia around 1878, included in Patrizio Gennari's herbarium), Hauck et Richter, *Phykotheke universalis* (800 specimens from 1886 to 1894 collected worldwide).

At present, the Fungi herbarium housed in TO is the less investigated. It comprises approximately 30.000

samples (estimated datum). The Herbarium hosts also the dried collections of O. Mattirollo and A. Cerruti. These collections, of extraordinary museum interest, are a fundamental reference for mycologists as they contain numerous type specimens of hypogeous fungi, often complete with the original micrographs. The lichen collection numbers around 30.000 samples. More than 50 nuclei have been recognized. It comprises original collections that attest the activity of some scientists in the "golden period" of the Italian Lichenology (e.g. Anzi, Baglietto, Carestia, De Notaris) as well as many important European herbaria (e.g. Philipp Hepp Die Flechten Europas, G. W. Koerber *Lichenes selecti Germanici*, Leighton *Lichenes britannici exsiccati*, the Rabenhorst's collections).

The bryological herbaria comprises hornworts, liverworts and mosses and it is, at present, the only one for which published data exist. It contains over 30,700 specimens including some interesting material concerning "types" and documentation of extra European expeditions (Pistarino & Forneris, 2008). Interesting also the contribution to the local

knowledge: many specimens as those of Balbis, Lisa (180 specimens collected around Turin) and Carestia (950 mosses from Pennine Alps) are valuable data for time comparisons regarding North Italy flora.

In all the cryptogamic herbaria we identified over 100.000 specimens and more than 80 nuclei (as defined in Methods section) many of these not previously recognized as being part of our herbarium. The specimens, on the whole, have a global coverage. Italy is particularly well represented, with a timeline of specimen data going back over 250 years. The herbaria of Martino Anzi (1812-1883) and those of Antonio Carestia (1825-1898), both personal collections and specimens received as gifts, can be considered as the core of non-vascular herbaria in TO. As for the other Italian collections, very important are the nuclei of Giovanni Battista Balbis (1765-1831), a pupil of the famous Piedmontese botanist Carlo Allioni (1728-1804) that comprises over 3000 specimens (1125 bryophytes, 1083 fungi, 502 algae, 983 lichens) and the Erbario Crittogamico Italiano (1858-1885) the most important collection of Italian cryptogams.

The nucleus referred to Luigi Micheletti (1844-1912) is also significant for the Italian flora. He was an Army Captain from Lombardy, who was in correspondence with renowned botanists (many revised specimens and letters found attached to sheets). His herbarium (fig. 3), which also includes phanerogams, preserves specimens from 15 out to 20 Italian regions.

CONCLUSION

This work sheds light on the true extent of the non vascular plant collections in TO, collecting data on their consistency and history and will serve as a basis for future actions for the enhancement of this heritage. The collected data, incomplete though it may be, will be of interest to botanist engaged in taxonomic and nomenclatural research. The digitisation process, currently underway, will allow for our collections to be queried and analysed in ways not previously possible, and enables access by 'virtual visitors' unable to visit the collections in person.

During this first analyses several "typus" samples have been discovered. This types is a priceless treasure that should be enhanced in the next future: at present less than 5% of the typical material hosted in TO has been listed or revised.

The collections also hold a great historical value, as they reflect devoted interest in botany and local plant knowledge of earlier Italian botanist and their relationships with the most important European botanist in the XIX century. The oldest cryptogamic exsiccata refer to botanist that work in Piedmont or botanist that have been part of the Botanical Garden (e.g. Allioni, Balbis, Bellardi, Colla, Moris). They were included in vascular plants herbaria, and

sometimes they lack identification at specific levels or relevant geographical information. Their interest is mainly historical and documentary, although samples (such as those relating to the hill of the city of Turin), being permanent record of botanical diversity, may provide interesting data on the presence of species now extinct or endangered. This role of old samples is increasingly important as the rate of habitat destruction increases and anthropic factors can cause rapid changes in species' ranges.

REFERENCES

- ARRIGONI P.V., 2006. The discovery of the Sardinian Flora (XVIII-XIX Centuries). *Boccone*, 19: 7-31.
- CUCCUINI P., NEPI C., 1999. *Herbarium Centrale Italicum (the phanerogamic section): the genesis and structure of a herbarium*. Ed. Museo di Storia Naturale, Firenze, 466 pp.
- FORNERIS G., 2004. *L'erbario dell'Università di Torino. Pagine di storia e di iconografia nelle collezioni botaniche*. Alma Universitas Taurinensis, Torino, 377 pp.
- ISOCRONO D., MATTEUCCI E., PIERVITTORI R. 2004. La sezione attuale delle collezioni lichenologiche dell'*Herbarium Universitatis Taurinensis*. *Notiziario della Società Lichenologica Italiana*, 17: 72-73.
- ISOCRONO D., ELLIS L., THÜS H., WOLSELEY P., 2012. Notes on an early 18th Century collection of British cryptogams in the Torino Herbarium (TO). *Notiziario della Società Lichenologica Italiana*, 25: 53.
- MATTIROLO O., 1912. Lorenzo Terraneo (1676 - 1714) e l'importanza dell'opera sua nella storia botanica del Piemonte. *Bollettino della Società Botanica Italiana*: 232-243.
- MONTACCHINI F., FORNERIS G., PISTARINO A., 1994. Il contributo di Lorenzo Terraneo (1676-1714) alla conoscenza della flora Piemontese. *Giornale Botanico Italiano*, 128: 380.
- OBERMAYER W., 2002. *Management of a lichen Herbarium*. In: Kranner I., Beckett R., Varma A. (eds), *Protocols in Lichenology: Culturing, Biochemistry, Ecophysiology and Use in Biomonitoring*. Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 507-523.
- PISTARINO A., FORNERIS G., 2008. Le raccolte briologiche dell'Università di Torino: prime indagini sui contenuti. In Cilli C., Malerba G., Giacobini G. (eds), *Il Patrimonio della scienza. Le collezioni di interesse storico. Museologia Scientifica Memorie*, 2: 108-112.